EXHIBIT 52

Memorandum of Walker River Irrigation District and Other Defendants in Answer to Brief on Exceptions to the Master's Findings filed April 22, 1936

Case 3:73-cv-00127-MMD-WGC Document 17 Filed 01/13/21 Page 2 of 11

AND TO STREET 3.00 · 经 Carlo Carlo Carlo 科学技术 1 MALIAMAN CANAGO CASA C CARCO ASSESS 71160 april 27 200 IN EQUITY 1-125 Clerk HAT W. Deputy (E) IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, IN 特別がなっ AND FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA. Webs アデフラ Billion. ø UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff. R Bullingues was a co ******* Ð Menson an r WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION DISTRICT. 24 a corporation, et al, 10 Defendants. 12 OTHER DEDENDANTS IN ANSWER TO BRIDE ON EXCEPTIONS TO THE MASTER'S FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS AND PROPOSED DECREE FILED BY PLAINTIFF THROUGH ITS ATTORNEYS, 13 **联的的**(\$5% 14 ETHELBERT WARD AND WILLIAM S. BOYLE. THE PROPERTY OF A PROPERTY **对加坡** 15 March ... Pursuant to the authority conferred upon him in his ap-16 13.50 pointment, the Mester in the above-entitled case filed his report, Torrest agreement and an artist 17 DESTRUCTION OF proposed findings of fact, conclusions of law and decree on December 30, 1932. 10 The plaintiff, United States of America, and several 90 defendants filed exceptions to the Master's report, proposed 21 findings of fact, conclusions of law and proposed decree, within 22 the time fixed by Equity Rule 66, which reads as follows: 23 "The mester as soon as his report is ready, shall return the same into the clerk's office and the dey of the return shall be entered by the clerk in the Equity Booket. The parties shall have twenty days from the time of the filing of the report to file exceptions thereto, and if no exceptions are within that period filed by either party, the report shall stand confirmed. If exceptions are filed, they shall stand for hearing before the court, if then in session, or, if not, at the next sitting held thereafter, by adjournment or otherwise." 25 26 27 88 90

> POOR Quality ORIGINAL A CONTRACTOR

30

A CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY O

75,50

and the second state of the state of

Target Comments

" M%5/Az.

10.00

SHOP NOW

1997 Sec.

Section Michigan

1 ... A. 1 ... 172 to ... · Marie Seven

197

STATISTICS TO

 \odot

10,000

13:2

complete see to

新河。 二連

inaca negati renggiya

TO HER THE STATE OF THE STATE O

Tar Landari V.

THE WAR THE PARTY OF THE PARTY

CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF THE

以海:海外河市

and the same

desert was an allow

then states and

HAR CARROLINA

of the first of the second

All marine and

Company and the second of the and the second second second

A STATE OF THE STA

and the state of the state of All Controlling

Marin of the state Harris and Company

-3500 12000

18 MARCH

The state of the s and Mental and the same and advantage of the same

.29

Parallella a State State of the

Exceptions were filed by the plaintiff, United States of America, within the time allowed by the foregoing rule and thereafter the plaintiff duly filed its brief in support of said exceptions by and through its attorneys, Ethelbert Ward and Cole L. Harwood. Thereafter, an oral argument was had at Carson City, covering the exceptions of the plaintiff as well as the exceptions of all defendants and the matter was duly submitted to the court for decision. After considering, the court rendered its decision and opinion on June 5, 1935, and filed the same with the clark of the above-entitled court on June 7, 1935. All of the exceptions filed by the plaintiff as well as the exceptions filed by ell of the defendants were disposed of and ruled upon by the court. In the opinion and decision the court entered an order, referring the matter back to the Master to take evidence and hear counsel for the purpose of determining what rights, their quantity and priority, if any, the Sierra Pacific Power Company is entitled to have decreed to it. The order of the court, which appears on the last two pages of the opinion and decision, reads as follows: "It follows from what hes been said that the Sierra Company has not a riparian use for the im-MIt follows from what has been said that the Sierra Company has not a riperian use for the impounding or storage of water for power purposes, and its prayer for judgment and decree confirming such rights must be denied. Doubtess appreciating the difficulties of the situation counsel for the Sierra Company, in oral argument, stated that he recognized that the proposed diversion and storage "must be a reasonable one which must not interfere with the use of a lower appropriator." But we are not here concerned with what has been described as "the use of the hydraulic effect of the stream for the generation of electric current," which has been held to be a legitimate exercise of the riperian right. Mentone etc. v. Redlands, 155 Cal. 525; Semoca C. C. M. Co. v. Great Wastern Power Oc., 209 Cal. 206, 215. The Sierra Company is demanding confirmation of its claimed rights as a riperian owner to divert and impound water for power purposes, which is inhibited by law. All of the water in the rivers having been heretofore appropriated and put to beneficial uses, whatever rights to the waters of the waters of the waters, whether as riparian or appropriator, said company may now have or hereafter assert, it

: 3 1917

35

200

4 Wellinson

Alekson ...

1

2.6

Silve Kadubero

With the

alathermen 🔭 🖟

· 被逐渐 生态 [6]

ALCHES ... E. D

Memorial of the second

CENTE M

The state of

11125 (

1300

1356

Ber.

Acres 6400 .

Article Ca

10

11

12

13

14

15

10

17

18

19

20

21

23

25

27

The state of the s

Poor Quelity Opening

is plear that such rights are subject and subordinate

Case 3:73-cv-00127-MMD-WGC Document 17 Filed 01/13/21 Page 4 d

San Strang markey

Topostationers constitutioners

[456**] 1**50 (150) [150] The same of the sa

1. 20 May 20 Ma

to a secondarian made a comparability of the constant

油加工

Marie A Discourse A

This give a

a Terraria and Artistation and a second

The state of the same

AL AL AND A SECOND ASSESSMENT OF THE PARTY O AND PROPERTY ATTRIBUTES

16 CHANGE

Super Super

The many

Winter Capable Can

Carro Carrolla 1/21

A STATE

late all most and live

ingranication and the

MINING GER

Application of the second

世界の たっかいかけ はっぱい

all the commence which

网络中国的政治的 电影的特色

BE THE STATE

weighted

TANK WAY

There is

**, L*

Contribution per se in the second

myriada propinsi pedi 1 11 1.

March of

to the prior rights of the lower appropriators, who are entitled to protection against interference, obstruction and diminution of the natural seasonal stream flow.

, Y

影響門

Partie.

12

1

10

13

14

15

16

17

19

2 V

21

22

23

24

25

20

28

90

30

54.55

1 100

(Free

and the same of

SPANNE TO

water or B. I.

W. W. S. 14 14 14

DRINKERD

SERCENAUTO TO L

Manager 11

SHOUND ALL DAY

Market Service

50265

#820.00m320m....

.....

Appellation .

"Mention has been made herein of 350 or 400 acres of land, owned by the Sierra Company, which acres of land, owned by the Sherra Company, which it is said has been irrigated since 1901, and of which there has been no determination as to priority or quantity. The case will be referred to the Master to take evidence and hear counsel for the purpose of determining what rights, their quantity and priority, if any, said company has in the premises. Said company may have thirty days from date hereof, if so advised in which to offer the necessary proofs. At the conclusion of the hearing the Master is drected to prepare and submit to this Court forms of findings of fact and conclusions of law and decree from offer to this decision. Counsel for receive parties shall have ton days after not to them by the Master of the filing of his report and said forms with the olerk, in which to submit their objections.

"Except as indicated by the views herein expressed, the exceptions to the Master's proposed findings and conclusions of law and decree, heretofore submitted, will be overruled."

It would appear from the foregoing opinion and order of the court that it was not intended to have the entire case reopened and re-argued as counsel for the plaintiff, United States of America, has attempted to do by filing exceptions to the Master's report and again briefing the whole case after the time for filing exceptions has expired and after the court has fully considered the whole case and referred it again to the Master for the sole purpose of taking testimony on the rights, if any, of the Sierra Pacific Power Company. It seems clear that the court intended only that the parties should have ten days after the Master had rendered his supplemental report within which to object to such findings as had not been esteblished by the decision and opinion because the court states:

> "Counsel for respective parties shall have ten days after notice to them by the Master of the filing of his report and said forms with the Clerk in which to submit his objections."

> "Except as indicated by the views herein expressed, the exceptions to the Master's proposed findings, conclusions of law and decree heretofore submitted, will be overruled."

I want will be to be to

The first species

a designation of the second

THE PROPERTY AND

Lange of the state of the party of the

MOVING THE STATES

ek. Meledagar.

Regention assessmental

teller out the last

Service & society.

frequency . . Sugar

1552 P.

procession and associ

BELLY LIFE.

阿斯斯 (1)

STATE OF

· Service

NEW YORK

a fine of the

Professional Company Control of the Company Control of the Company

كالعائد الانصافات والما شفيا بعواماة

3 Equipment and a second

the contract of the second states

Notwithstanding what is the obvious intent of the court to permit objections to be filed against any award made to the Sierra Pacific Power Company by the Master after taking testimony as directed by the court, the plaintiff, United States of Americal has filed objections and exceptions which are in effect a duplication and reiteration of the exceptions and objections filed against the Master's original proposed findings, decree and report.

CAT3

1

3

5

7

υ

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

10

17

18

10

21

23

25

27

A STATE OF THE STA

Maria de Maria de de

hir.

Carrier.

Kw law .

region of

EASSAS - 1

ern seres .

20061

Manual Control

Mick Committee

and the second

100 C

all of the cases cited in the brief filed November 1, 1935, by the United States have been referred to in the original brief and argument filed by the plaintiff. The same points have been argued in slightly different verbage and, however much we would desire to incorporate in a brief at this time a complete restatement of the views expressed in our former brief, we feel that it would only be a burden upon the court to be asked to again read such a brief. We feel that what has been stated in the brief filed by us on August 25, 1933, has been fully considered by the court and that it constitutes a complete enswer to the argument advanced in the briefs filed by the United States. We therefore feel that we should not file an extended brief at this time but should merely refer the court to the original briefs in the case and the transcript of the oral argument had at Carson City in the year 1953.

The case of Winters vs. United States, 207 U. S. 564, is the only Supreme Court decicion which the United States cites that bears upon the subject of a reservation of waters by implication. Indeed, the plaintiff states that it is the only United States Supreme Court decision upon the subject. The Winters case has been worn threadbare in support of the argument of the United States that it applies to a case of this character. However, we pointed out in the defendents' brief filed August 25, 1933, on

Poor Quelity Offerhal

Case 3:73-cv-00127-MMD-WGC Document 17 Filed 01/13/21 Page 6 of 11

North Say of

STATE NO STATE OF THE second secon

made 15 per se de la composition della compositi

Par March 1997 1 11

sometimes and the first

reden hannen er en er. Dittall**en hannen** The party of the

bying a second survey. Called the Addition the Addition

発展に対象して対象と

Mich Lang. evelope in 1990 in the Sci

脂酸: 八块。,

PRISE. P. M. ANDERS

Hill Spring Comment

happen and a wind

陈明等 图:

hijasuumaanni immina -

Maria Maria

NOTE TO STREET

388

) some Alst Best one

. . . 255 C

1719

pages 15, at seg., that the Winters case was readily distinguishable from the case at bar. We quoted from the decision written by Mr. Justice McKenna which, at the risk of being charged with unnecessary reiteration, we again quote:

1974 ·

3046

THE ALL

1

5

A 7

D

10

11

12

13 14 15

10

17

10 20

21

22

23

24

28

ื่อบ

87

QR

20

80

魕

* -- 4

A ...

ment of May, 1888, resulting in the creation of Fort Belknap Reservation. In the construction of this agreement there are certain elements to be considered that are prominent and significant. The reservation was a pert of a vory much larger tract which the Indians had the right to occupy and use, and which was adequate for the habits and wants of a nomadic and uncivilized people. It was the policy of the and undivilized people. It was the policy of the government, it was the desire of the Indians, to charge these habits and to become a pastoral and civilized people. If they should become such, the original tract would be too extensive; but a smaller tract would be inadequate without a change of conditions. The lands were arid, and, without irrigation, were practically valueless, and vet. of conditions. The lands were arid, and, without irrigation, were practically valueless. And yet, it is contended, the means of irrigation were deliberately given up by the Indians and deliberately accepted by the government. The lands coded were, it is true, also arid; and some argument may be urged, and is urged, that with their cession there was the cession of the waters, without which they would be valueless, and 'civilized communities could not be established thereon.' And this, it is further contended, the Indians knew, and yet made further contended, the Indiana knew, and yet made no reservation of the water. We realize that there is a conflict of implications, but that which makes for the retention of the waters is of greater force then that which makes for their cession. X X X X X

"By a rule of interpretation of agreements and treaties with the Indians, ambiguities occurring will be resolved from the standpoint of the Indians. And the rule should certainly be applied to determine between two inferences, one of which would support the purpose of the agreement and the other impair or defeat it. On account of their relations to the government, it cannot be supposed that the Indians were alert to exclude by formal words every inference which might militate against or defeat the declared which might militate age: not or dereat the declared purpose of themselves and the government, even if it could be supposed that they had the intelligence to foresee the 'double sense' which might some time be urged against them."

We submit that this court has by what was said or rage 12, et seq., of the opinion of June 6, 1935, agreed with the defendants that the Winters case is readily distinguishable from the case at bar and we are content to rest upon what the court held after careful consideration of all of the facts and circum-

Carrier to

IMAC Poor Quality ORIENAL

e degrapheresen independence inter 8 ° -e denning i 60 e dietak lithana mittlimik.

The state of the s

1

2

3

þ

10

11

12

15

10

17

18

19

20

21

99

23

24

25

26

27

28

90

30

The state of the s

7

1. Sale

William In

物源。

ا معالم المعالم المعالم

ASSESSED !

various and

级人

AND THE

WATERTHAN.

Majorith (et al. 11)

-

stop where

20.

TO THE REAL PROPERTY.

On page 14 of the brief filed by the government on November 1, 1935, beginning with line 19, counsel for the United States say:

"Congress had appropriated \$10,000 in 1926 to investigate the feesibility of a reservoir."

"The appropriation was made after this suit was filed. The recommendations of Engle have never been approved or acted upon. The reservoir recommended by Engle has never been built."

While there is no evidence in the record to support the foregoing statement, we assert that counsel for the government is in error with reference to the construction of a reservoir for the Indians for the reason that a reservoir actually has been constructed on the Indian Reservetion above Schurz. Whether it is constructed at the precise point recommended by Engle, we are not prepared to say but the fact remains that an appropriation has been made to construct the reservoir and the impounding dam has actually been constructed and the water has been stored in the reservoir, which facts we assume will not be challenged by the plaintiff.

Technically speaking, the above quotation from plaintiff's brief to the effect that one of the reservoir sites recommended by Engle has not been utilized by the construction of
is correct
a reservoir, nevertheless the physical feet of the construction

-8-

がは

.: '2. "

PER INTE

018 W. W.

Carlotte Valley

ي مورده و داري همه و دري مارو

The second second

Managemental Committee

KATE OF STATE OF STAT

र प्रदेशक करिए अपनुष्य धार राज्य र विकास

lance a constitue made

家庭

. 11

The Same School Section

The Late of Market

ENW'S

ALL DEL

- WINDS

decem as the s

والمداليور يعيد والاداكات

erie de la companya d

Adag Ange i received the second

00578

 $\widehat{\boldsymbol{w}}_{i} = \underbrace{\boldsymbol{w}}_{i} \widehat{\boldsymbol{w}}_{i} \widehat{$

mes et al

POOR Quality ORIGINAL

FEDCT00008977-7

area of the project in the lower valley. This site has been thoroughly investigated and tested. The several dame proposed in the Beemer report were 40 feet in height above the river bed, elevation 4,180 feet, and the maximum storage of the reservoir with a water aurve. Elevati of 4,212 , was 9600 acre feet."

On page 8 of the brief filed by the plaintiff, United States of America, on November 1, 1935, the following statement appears:

1

3

4

ь

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

17

18

19

20

21

28

93

94

95

97

28

40

101

15

140 1

100

333

"We cannot conceive of a civilized nation confining a lot of helpless starving savages on a sun-baked tract of desert lands without water, except for drinking purposes, unless it was the purpose of the United States that the Walker River Indian Reservation, in the language of Judge Talbot, should serve merely as a starvation camp and burying ground."

We answered such arguments in our former bris?. In the winter of 1869, when it is claimed the reservation was craated for the Indians, we pointed out in the evidence that the Indiens were actually at war with the Whites and that for some two years or more later battles were had in which many White men were killed and scalped by the indians. Notably is the battle in which General Ormsby, Captain Storey and many other Whites were killed near Pyramid Lake in an expedition against the Indians because of the murder of two White men of Williams Station. It is idle to argue that under such conditions the government contemplated the irrigation of 10,000 scres of land on the Walker River Indian Reservation for 600 savage Indians who were living upon game, fish and the roots of wild plants which abounded in the region et that time. The implication contended for that! water for 10,000 series of land was reserved from the Walker River under such circumstances as existed at that time is impossible, perticularly in the light of subsequent developments whereby the Congress of the United States authorized, permitted and encouraged the settlement of homestead and desert lands and acquiesced in and declared through Congress that the waters of the non-navigable

-8-

HAT MAY

TWZME CYW. المهادات والمراجع والمعاجب **产的联系统产品的**

· Chian Maint

alling characters. Manager 1. 1. 2 in the con-case tracks the property of the contract of the con-traction of the contract of the contract of the con-

AN ALCOHO

residence and a

The Committee of the State of the Committee of the Commit

A State of the second

mark the straight from

وبالمارة والمراوع والمتحرف والمحاولة والمتحرفة

Marie and

Побращина пред и и предоста

age of the strate.

Third A. A. Simple trip facility

A Property of the second

A production of the state of th

streams on the public domain were available for the development of such lend. The implications raised by the acts of Congress beginning with 1866 down to the present time certainly do not argue in favor of the implication contended for by the government. The implication against the reservation of water when the tract of land was delimited in 1859 is certainly far more logical than the implication in its favor and in view of the fact that no treaty is under consideration, the conflict of implications cannot be followed as was followed in the winters case, supra.

1

2

3

4

Ø

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

10

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

20

28 29

1

15 1

1

hi.r

22.1

14.00

W.

0

The have fully covered such argument in our brief of August 25, 1933, and respectfully request the court to re-examine the brief if the court is to give any consideration to a re-argument of matters presented by the latest exceptions and briefs of the government.

Since the Supreme Court of the United States decided the case of California Oregon Power Company vs. Beaver Portland Cement Company et al, No. 612, reported in 79 Law Ed, 1358, 295 U. S. 142, many questions which had been in doubt with reference to the effect of the Act of 1866 and the Desert Land Act of 1877 have been set at rest. The defendants always contended for the interpretation of the Desert Land Act which were finally announced by the Supreme Court in the last mentioned case. Fortunately, the court had the benefit of this decision at the time the opinion in the case at bar was rendered and we respectfully submit that the conclusions of this court on the points in question were correctly decided. It is impossible to reconcile the decision or the Supreme Court of the United States in California Oregon Power Company vs. Beaver Fortland Cement Company, supra, with the claim that the United States impliedly reserved water for the Indian Reservation. The serious consequences that would result from the actual taking of such water from the White settlers, who

-9-

List Charles

r grandstre i Salac

(Kill - 17/2 = 17

明 人名英格兰

The state of the s

is finance.

XXXX.

order States of the Co.

والمحارب والأطاعات

Case 3:73-cv-00127-MMD-WGC Document 17 Filed 01/13/21 Page 11 of 11

ver it has			
		10 2 consentencements respectively a large	The state of the state of
			de de de la companya del companya de la companya del companya de la companya de l
1 C	` ,		A State of the Sta
The state of the state of	,		The second secon
I.			The state of the s
		· .	let a de la constant
		•	
Marie Const		have beneficially used it and who have built up cities, towns	The second of the
de fizer.	1	and schools and developed the valley to its present state of	en e
and the same of th	2	cultivation, are beyond contemplation.	THE SEAL P.
12.	3	We therefore respectfully submit that the conclusions	4 Company of the state of the s
	4	reached by the court in the opinion of June 6, 1935, are correct	The state of the s
Rayers Marie	5	i i	344、增加高速原料。中华电影
3 . Bur	6	and should stand as against the United States of America.	The second section of the second seco
# Time Top	7	Dated: January 8, 1936.	Who is the constraint of the c
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Control of the Contro
Service of the servic	8	Very respectfully,	
meneis.	9	Attorney for Walker River Implication	NAME OF THE PARTY OF
FROM HARMAN	10	District and Certain Other Defendants.	M
Market 1.5	11		Market College
	12	Service of the foregoing Memorandum, by copy, is hereby admitted this	
	13	is hereby admitted this	
ESERCIETION .	14		
range of the	15	Special Master,	red at the second
Constraints	18	Attorney for Plaintiff.	(1)
No.	17	Witherseas	
	18	Attorneys for Defendant, Sierra Pacific Power	
Andrews	19	E. t. Juns ford + Gro. S. Green	Market and and an
	20	Attorney for Certain Defendents.	The state of the s
	21		
	22		
A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH	28	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	A Marie Constitution of the Constitution of th
	24	·	AND THE PERSON OF THE PERSON O
The state of the s	25 i	of the Antonomy	開発がある。これは数 開発を変われている。
	26	×1	Minimal court is come
	27	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
	28		A STATE OF THE STA
	29		Activities of the second
	20		Control of the Contro
	Đ U		Cadrago
	M	~16~	学者できょう (Ala Sub King) (Plantage of the Substance of the
	Manage and	Here the second	Harrages .